TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial fi	Application Number Filing Date First Named Inventor	Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031 Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Dilection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. 10/085,187 February 27, 2002 Joseph A. Kwak 2665 Daniel J. Ryman I-2-0203.4US
Fee Transmittal Form Fee Attached Amendment/Reply After Final Affidavits/declaration(s) Extension of Time Request Express Abandonment Request Information Disclosure Statement Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	Drawing(s) Licensing-related Papers Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s) Remarks	
SIGNAT Firm Jeffrey M. Glabicki	URE OF APPLICANT, ATTO	PRNEY, OR AGENT Reg. No. 42,584
Individual name Volpe and Koenig, P. Signature Date THOMAN AND THE STREET OF THE ST	RTIFICATE OF TRANSMISS s being facsimile transmitted to the semiling in an envelope addressed	BION/MAILING the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal of the USPTO of deposited with the United States Postal of the USPTO of deposited with the United States Postal of the USPTO of deposited with the United States Postal of the USPTO of deposited with the United States Postal of the USPTO of deposited with the United States Postal of the USPTO of deposited with the United States Postal of the USPTO of deposited with the United States Postal of the USPTO of deposited with the United States Postal of the USPTO of deposited with the United States Postal of the USPTO of deposited with the United States Postal of the USPTO of deposited with the United States Postal of the USPTO of deposited with the United States Postal of the USPTO of deposited with the United States Postal of the USPTO of deposited with the United States Postal of the USPTO

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

PTO/SB/17 (10-03)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006, OMB 0651-0032
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE of a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control. the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to re

FEE TRANSMIT for FY 2004

Effective 10/01/2003. Patent fees are subject to annual revision.

Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT

/m>	つつへ	α
1 (2)	330	.uu

spond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.						
Complete if Known						
Application Number	10/085,187	RECE	-IV	FD		
Filing Date	February 27, 2002	2	#1 0-1			
First Named Inventor	Joseph A. Kwak	JUL 2	1 200	4		
Examiner Name	Daniel J. Ryman	- 1	Λ 1			
Art Unit	2665	Technology	Cente	r 200		
Attorney Docket No.	I-2-0203.4US			,		

METHOD OF PAYMENT (check a	FEE CALCULATION (continued)						
Check Credit card Money Other None			DDITI	ONAL	. FEE	S	
Order D			Entity	Small	Entity	•	
Deposit Account:		Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Description	Fee Paid
Account Number 09-0435		1051	130	2051	65	Surcharge - late filing fee or oath	
Deposit Account InterDigital Communications	Corporation	1052	50	2052	25	Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet	
Name	.(v)	1053	130	1053	130	Non-English specification	
The Director is authorized to: (check all that app Charge fee(s) indicated below Credit	any overpayments	1812	2,520	1812	2,520	For filing a request for ex parte reexamination	
Charge any additional fee(s) or any underpaym		1804	920*	1804	920*	Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action	
Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the		1805	1,840*	1805	1,840*	Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action	
to the above-identified deposit account.		1251	110	2251	55	Extension for reply within first month	
FEE CALCULATION		1252	420	2252	210	Extension for reply within second month	
1. BASIC FILING FEE Large Entity Small Entity		1253	950	2253	475	Extension for reply within third month	
Fee Fee Fee Fee Description	Fee Paid	1254	1,480	2254	740	Extension for reply within fourth month	
Code (\$) Code (\$) 1001 770 2001 385 Utility filing fee		1255	2,010	2255	1,005	Extension for reply within fifth month	
1002 340 2002 170 Design filing fee		1401	330	2401	165	Notice of Appeal	
1003 530 2003 265 Plant filing fee		1402	330	2402	165	Filing a brief in support of an appeal	330.00
1004 770 2004 385 Reissue filing fee		1403	290	2403	145	Request for oral hearing	
1005 160 2005 80 Provisional filing f	ee	1451	1,510	1451	1,510	Petition to institute a public use proceeding	
SUBTOTAL (1)	(\$) 0 00	1452	110	2452	55	Petition to revive - unavoidable	
			1,330	2453	665	Petition to revive - unintentional	
2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES FOR UTILITY	ee from	1501	1,330	2501	665	Utility issue fee (or reissue)	
	below Fee Paid	1502	480	2502	240	Design issue fee	
Total Claims = X		1503	640	2503		Plant issue fee	
Claims Multiple Dependent		1460	130	1460	130	Petitions to the Commissioner	
_ · · · <u> </u>		1807	50	1807	7 50	Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(q)	
Large Entity Small Entity Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Description	: o n	1806	180	1806		Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt	
Code (\$) Code (\$)	_	8021	40	802 ⁻	1 40	Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)	
1202 18 2202 9 Claims in excess 1201 86 2201 43 Independent clair		1809	770	2809	385	Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR 1.129(a))	
	ent claim, if not paid	1810	770	2810	385	For each additional invention to be	
1204 86 2204 43 ** Reissue indep	endent claims					examined (37 CFR 1.129(b))	
over original pa		1801	770	2801		Request for Continued Examination (RCE)	
1205 18 2205 9 ** Reissue claim and over origin		1802	900	1802	900	Request for expedited examination of a design application	
			fee (sp	ecify) _			
SUBTOTAL (2) **or number previously paid, if greater; For Rei	*/	*Redu	iced by	Basic	Filing F	Fee Paid SUBTOTAL (3) (\$) 330	.00

SUBMITTED BY				(Complete	(if applicable))	_
Name (Print/Type)	Jeffrey M. Glabicki	Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	42,584	Telephone	215-568-6400	
Signature	(W) My M andred -			Date	7/14/04	_

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.17 and 1.27. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.



n the **PATENT APPLICATION** of:

Joseph A. Kwak

Application No.: 10/085,187

Confirmation No.: 3548

Filed:

· February 27, 2002

For: METHOD FOR PHYSICAL LAYER

AUTOMATIC REPEAT REQUEST FOR

A SUBSCRIBER UNIT

Group:

2665

Examiner:

Daniel J. Ryman

Our File:

I-2-0203.4US

Date:

July 14, 2004

RECEIVED

JUL 2 1 2004

Technology Center 2600

APPEAL BRIEF

Mail Stop Appeal Brief-Patents Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Further to the May 14, 2004 Notice of Appeal, Applicant hereby submits this Appeal Brief.

07/16/2004 LWONDIM1 00000055 090435 10085187

01 FC:1402

330.00 DA

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ITE	\mathbf{M}	PAGE
(1)	REAL PARTY IN INTEREST	3
(2)	RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES	3
(3)	STATUS OF CLAIMS	3
(4)	STATUS OF THE AMENDMENTS	3
(5)	SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION	4
(6)	ISSUES	4
(7)	GROUPING OF CLAIMS	5
(8)	ARGUMENT	5
(9)	CONCLUSION	8
API	PENDIX A - Pending Claims	9-10

(1) REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

The real party in interest is the assignee of record, InterDigital Technology Corporation.

(2) RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Appeal Briefs were filed on April 12, 2004 for U.S. Patent Application No. 10/085,203 and U.S. Patent Application No. 09/939,410. U.S. Patent Application No. 09/939,410 is the parent of the present application and U.S. Patent Application No. 10/085,203. Other than those appeals no other appeals or interferences are known which will directly affect or be directly affected by or have a bearing on the Board's decision in the present appeal.

(3) STATUS OF THE CLAIMS

Claims 1-9 are the subject of this appeal and are attached in Appendix A. No other claims are pending. Claims 1 and 7 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b), as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,101,406 (Messenger). Claims 2, 6 and 9 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a), as being unpatentable over Messenger in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,828,677 (Sayeed et al.). Claim 3 is finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a), as being unpatentable over Messenger in view of Sayeed et al. and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,449,246 (Barton et al.). Claim 4 is finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a), as being unpatentable over Messenger in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,064,692 (Chow). Claims 5 and 8 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a), as being unpatentable over Messenger in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,982,760 (Chen).

(4) STATUS OF THE AMENDMENTS

No Amendments were filed after the February 17, 2004 Final Action.

(5) SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The data modulation of a subscriber unit is adjusted. See ¶[0020] and [0024]. Data is received at a transmitter for transmission. See ¶[0024]. The received data is formatted into packets for transmission. See ¶[0016]. Each packet has a particular type of encoding/data modulation. See ¶[0016]. The packets are transmitted. See ¶[0016] A return channel is monitored for receipt of an acknowledgment for each packet and that packet has been received. See ¶[0031] and [0032]. A packet is retransmitted at the transmitter, if an acknowledgment for that packet has not been received. See ¶[0032]. Retransmission statistics are collected. See ¶[0020]. Each particular encoding/data modulation is adjusted using the collected retransmission statistics. See ¶[0020]. If the collected retransmission statistics indicate a low number of retransmissions, a higher capacity encoding/data modulation scheme is selected as the particular encoding/data modulation and if the collected retransmission statistics indicate a high number of retransmissions, a lower capacity encoding/data modulation scheme is selected as the particular encoding/data modulation. See ¶[0021]-[0023].

(6) ISSUES

- (1) Do claims 1 and 7 meet the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §102(b), as not being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,101,406 (Messenger)?
- (2) Do claims 2, 6 and 9 meet the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §103(a), as being unpatentable over Messenger in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,828,677 (Sayeed et al.)?
- (3) Does claim 3 meet the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §103(a), as being unpatentable over Messenger in view of Sayeed et al. and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,449,246 (Barton et al.)?
- (4) Does claims 4 meet the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §103(a), as being unpatentable over Messenger in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,064,692 (Chow)?

(5) Do claims 5 and 8 meet the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §103(a), as being unpatentable over Messenger in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,982,760 (Chen)?

(7) GROUPING OF CLAIMS

The claims on appeal consist of four groups. Claims 1, 2, 6, 7 and 9 are in group one and claim 1 is the representative claim. Claim 3 is in Group 2 and claim 3 is the representative claim. Claim 4 is in Group 3 and claim 4 is the representative claim. Claims 5 and 8 are in Group 4 and claim 5 is the representative claim.

(8) ARGUMENT

Background

This application (U.S. Patent Application No. 10/085,203) was filed on February 27, 2002.

Group 1 (Claims 1, 2, 6, 7 and 9):

Issue (1): Do claims 1 and 7 meet the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §102(b), as not being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,101,406 (Messenger)?

Messenger at column 2, ln. 69 to column 3, ln. 6 (in the BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION) states, "... [w]hen a statistically unacceptable transmission error rate is observed, the remote station may then change its current encoding algorithm to another. This may be done by stepping in a predetermined manner through the various encoding algorithms recognized by the system or by pseudorandom selection." Accordingly, Messenger only discloses changing the "current encoding algorithm" in view of an unacceptable transmission error rate.

Furthermore, in the DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS, changes in view of unacceptable transmission error rates is described differently. For instance at column 8, lns. 34-42 Messenger states, "[a]ccordingly, the remote station

may be appropriately programmed to change its current operating frequency in response to transmission errors. The changing of the operating frequency in response to transmission errors is preferably done in a pseudorandom manner to avoid the possibility that all remote system stations will be transmitting on a single frequency, such a condition enhancing the likelihood of conflicting transmissions." Accordingly, Messenger, describes changes in view of transmission error as changing the transmission frequency.

The claims recite both "a higher capacity encoding/data modulation scheme" and "a lower capacity encoding/data modulation scheme". Messenger does not disclose higher or lower capacity. Messenger describes changing an encoding algorithm in a pseudo random manner, which indicates that the encoding algorithms are somewhat equivalents or why would the selection be in a pseudorandom order. Also, the description in the DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS switches between carrier frequencies, which inherently would have a same capacity. The switching between frequencies would result in finding a frequency having less interference, not a different capacity.

The claims also recite, "collecting retransmission statistics", "low retransmission statistics" and "high retransmission statistics". Messenger only discloses an unacceptable error rate and does not define how that is measured, in particular not as low or high retransmission statistics. Also, Messenger clearly does not disclose changing of the modulation scheme to a higher capacity encoding/data modulation scheme in view of low retransmission statistics. Messenger clearly only describes changing the "encoding algorithm" in view of unacceptable error rate and not changing in view of an acceptable error rate (low retransmissions).

With respect to issues 2-5, none of the additional references, Sayeed, Barton, Chow or Chen, cure this lack of Messenger's teaching and are not cited by the examiner as doing such. Accordingly, these claims meet the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §102(b) and 35 U.S.C. §103(a).

Group 2 (Claims 3):

With respect to Group 2, Barton is cited as disclosing "nulling subchannels". However, in a careful review of that reference, it only discloses "inserting nulled symbols into the subcarriers" at column 11, lines 34-38. Nulling symbols merely implies that the sub-carriers are present but contain no data. In the present invention, the sub-carriers are nulled to reduce interference to other subcarriers. Furthermore, Barton does not disclose the use of nulled symbols in context with adaptive modulation and, accordingly, it is not correctly combinable with Messenger and Sayeed. There is no motivation or suggestion in these references for such a combination. In addition, all the reasons stated with respect to group 1 also apply to Group 2.

Group 3 (Claim 4):

With respect to Group 3, Chow is cited as disclosing the use of single carrier-frequency domain equalization (SC-FDE) air interface. Although Chow refers to using a frequency domain equalizer in the receiver, it does not disclose an SC-FDE air interface which refers to the transmission scheme. Furthermore, Chow does not disclose the use of frequency domain equalization in context with adaptive modulation and, accordingly, it is not correctly combinable with Messenger. There is no motivation or suggestion in these references for such a combination. In addition, all the reasons stated with respect to group 1 also apply to Group 3.

Group 4 (Claims 5 and 8):

With respect to Group 4, although Chen discloses a fast feedback channel, it does not disclose using it for transmission of acknowledgements or negative acknowledgements. Chen describes the fast feedback channel as sending commands. Accordingly, Chen does not describe the use of that channel for acknowledgements or in context of the remainder of the claim. In addition, all the reasons stated with respect to group 1 also apply to Group 4.

(9) CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated above, pending claims 1-9 meet the requirements 35 U.S.C. §102(b) and 35 U.S.C. §103(a). Accordingly, the final rejection should be reversed. After reversal, Applicant respectfully requests that the pending claims be passed to allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

Joseph A. Kwak

Jeffvey M. Glabicki

Registration No. 42,584

(215) 568-6400

Volpe and Koenig, P.C. United Plaza, Suite 1600 30 South 17th Street Philadelphia, PA 19103

JMG/pf

APPENDIX A (PENDING CLAIMS OF U.S. PATENT APPLICATION NO. 10/085,187)

1. A method for adjusting data modulation at a subscriber unit, comprising: receiving data at a transmitter for transmission;

formatting the received data into packets for transmission, each packet having a particular type of encoding/data modulation;

transmitting the packets;

monitoring a return channel for receipt of an acknowledgment for each packet that that packet has been received;

retransmitting a packet at the transmitter, if an acknowledgment for that packet has not been received;

collecting retransmission statistics; and

adjusting each particular encoding/data modulation using the collected retransmission statistics; wherein if the collected retransmission statistics indicate a low number of retransmissions, a higher capacity encoding/data modulation scheme is selected as the particular encoding/data modulation and if the collected retransmission statistics indicate a high number of retransmissions, a lower capacity encoding/data modulation scheme is selected as the particular encoding/data modulation.

- 2. The method of claim 1 wherein the particular type of encoding/data modulation is forward error correction (FEC).
- 3. The method of claim 2 wherein the packets are transmitted using an orthogonal frequency division multiple access (OFDMA) air interface and the FEC encoding/data modulation adjusting is performed in addition to selective nulling of subchannels in an OFDMA set.
- 4. The method of claim 1 wherein the packets are transmitted using a single carrier having a frequency domain equalization (SC-FDE) air interface.

5. The method of claim 1 wherein the return channel is a fast feedback channel when the packets are transmitted using a code division multiple access (CDMA) air interface.

6. The method of claim 1 further comprising:

identifying a packet as having an unacceptable error rate responsive to receipt of a negative acknowledgment.

7. A method for adjusting data modulation at a subscriber, comprising: formatting data into packets for transmission over a wireless air interface; receiving packets of data over said air interface, each packet having a particular encoding/data modulation;

for each received packet, generating and transmitting a positive acknowledgment at the physical layer of said air interface when a received packet has an acceptable error rate;

collecting retransmission statistics; wherein if the collected retransmission statistics indicate a low number of retransmissions, a higher capacity encoding/data modulation scheme is selected as the particular encoding/data modulation and if the collected retransmission statistics indicate a high number of retransmissions, a lower capacity encoding/data modulation scheme is selected as the particular encoding/data modulation.

- 8. The method of claim 7 wherein the positive acknowledgments are transmitted on a fast feedback channel when said air interface using a code division multiple access (CDMA).
- 9. The method of claim 7 further comprising transmitting a negative acknowledgment if that packet has an unacceptable error rate.